

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MAINE**

MICHAEL A. NORTON,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Docket No. 1:23-cv-00206-NT
	)	
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE	)	
and MAINE REVENUE SERVICE,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**ORDER AFFIRMING THE RECOMMENDED DECISION  
OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

On May 16, 2023, the United States Magistrate Judge filed with the Court, with a copy to the Plaintiff, her Recommended Decision after a preliminary review of the Plaintiff's Complaint (ECF No. 1) under 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Recommended Decision (ECF No. 6). The time within which to file objections has expired, and no objections have been filed. The Magistrate Judge notified the parties that failure to object would waive their right to *de novo* review and appeal.

It is therefore **ORDERED** that the Recommended Decision of the Magistrate Judge is hereby **ADOPTED**. It is further **ORDERED** that, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915, the Plaintiff's complaint is **DISMISSED**.

Further, given that Plaintiff has filed two other baseless cases in this Court (*Norton v. Biden*, No. 1:22-cv-00274-NT, and *Norton v. Univ. of Me.-Orono Physics Dep't*, No. 1:20-cv-00030-JAW), I hereby place the Plaintiff on **NOTICE** that filing restrictions "may be in the offing." *Cok v. Fam. Ct. of R. I.*, 985 F.2d 32, 35 (1st Cir.

1993). This represents the “cautionary order” of which *Cok* speaks. *Id.* Groundless and inappropriate filings will not be tolerated.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ Nancy Torresen  
United States District Judge

Dated this 8th day of June, 2023.